1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
10		Case No.: CGC-15-547520
11	PETER LEE, and LATONYA CAMPBELL, individually and as representatives of the	
12	class,	IDDODOCEDI ODDED EINALL V
13	Plaintiffs, v.	[PROPOSED] ORDER FINALLY APPROVING CLASS ACTION
14		SETTLEMENT & FINAL JUDGMENT
15	THE HERTZ CORPORATION, and DOLLAR THRIFTY AUTOMOTIVE	
16	GROUP, INC.,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Case No : CGC-15-547520

Plaintiffs Peter Lee and Latonya Campbell ("Plaintiffs" or "Class Representatives"), on behalf of themselves and the Settlement Class Members, and Defendants The Hertz Corporation and Dollar Thrifty Automotive Group, Inc. ("Defendants" or "Hertz") (together with Plaintiffs, the "Parties"), have entered into the Amended Settlement Agreement (the "Amended Settlement Agreement"), providing for the settlement of this case (the "Settlement").

A Fairness Hearing was held before this Court on August 16, 2019, to consider, among other things, whether the Settlement represents a fair, reasonable and adequate compromise of the Action, and the amount to be paid to Class Counsel as fees and litigation costs for prosecuting the Action. Having considered the evidence and argument submitted by the Parties, and any objections to the Settlement submitted,

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

This Final Judgment incorporates by reference the definitions in the Amended Settlement Agreement, and all capitalized terms used in this Final Judgment will have the same meanings as set forth in the Amended Settlement Agreement, unless otherwise defined in this Final Judgment.

This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action, the Settlement Class Representatives, the Settlement Class (defined below), and Defendants. Final Approval of the Settlement, and the request for entry of a Final Judgment, is hereby **GRANTED**.

- 1. The Court finds that the Amended Settlement Agreement is the product of good faith arms-length negotiations by the Parties, each of whom was represented by experienced counsel.
- 2. The Court finds that the Class proposed for purposes of the Settlement meets the requirements of Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 382 and hereby certifies a Settlement Class in the Action as follows:

All persons who applied for employment with The Hertz Corporation or Dollar Thrifty Automotive Group, Inc. in the United States at any time from August 21, 2013 to September 8, 2016 and who are members of Category 1, 2 and/or 3 as set forth below:

<u>Category 1</u>. All individuals who, at any time from August 21, 2013 to September 8, 2016, had a conditional offer of employment withdrawn by Defendants.

<u>Category 2.</u> All individuals who, at any time from August 21, 2013 to December 31, 2014, received conditional offers of employment from Defendants requiring a background check be run on the individuals, OR who, at any time from January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015, received conditional offers of employment as Transporters from Defendants.

<u>Category 3.</u> All individuals who, at any time from January 1, 2015 to September 8, 2016, received conditional offers of employment from Defendants.

- 3. This Court approves all terms set forth in the Amended Settlement Agreement and the Settlement reflected therein, and finds that such Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interest of the Settlement Class Members, and the Parties to the Amended Settlement Agreement are directed to consummate and perform its terms.
- 4. The Parties dispute the validity of the claims in the Action, and their dispute underscores not only the uncertainty of the outcome but also why the Court finds the Amended Settlement Agreement to be fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of the Settlement Class Members. Beyond facing uncertainty regarding the resolution of those issues, by continuing to litigate, Settlement Class Members would also face the challenge of obtaining class certification and surviving an appeal of any class certification order entered in this action, and any other rulings rendered during trial. Class Counsel has reviewed the Amended Settlement Agreement and finds it to be in the best interest of the Settlement Class Members. For all of these reasons, the Court finds that the uncertainties of continued litigation in both the trial and appellate courts, as well as the tremendous expense associated with it, weigh in favor of approval of the Settlement reflected in the Amended Settlement Agreement.

1

- 5. The Court finds that the Notice provided for in the Order of Preliminary Approval of Settlement has been provided to the Settlement Class, and the Notice provided to the Settlement Class constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and was in full compliance with the notice requirements of Cal. Code Civil Procedure § 382, Cal. Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the Cal. and United States Constitution, and other applicable law. The Notice apprised the members of the Settlement Class of the pendency of the litigation; of all material elements of the proposed Settlement, including but not limited to the relief afforded the Settlement Class under the Amended Settlement Agreement; of the res judicata effect on members of the Settlement Class and of their opportunity to object to, comment on, or opt-out of, the Settlement; of the identity of Class Counsel and of information necessary to contact Class Counsel; and of the right to appear at the Fairness Hearing. Full opportunity has been afforded to members of the Settlement Class to participate in the Fairness Hearing. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Final Settlement Class Members are bound by this Final Judgment in accordance with the terms provided herein.
- 6. The term "Effective Date" as used herein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Parties' Amended Settlement Agreement.
- 7. Within twenty-one business days of the Effective Date of the Settlement, Hertz shall deliver to the Settlement Administrator for deposit the Settlement Amount of the \$1,619,000 in accordance with the Amended Settlement Agreement.
- 8. Having reviewed the submissions of Class Counsel, the Court finds that the sum of \$_______ is reasonable compensation for Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and expenses. The Settlement Administrator will pay this sum, from the Settlement Amount, by wire transfer to Class Counsel seven days following the receipt of the Settlement Amount, in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 9. Having reviewed the submissions of Class Counsel, the Court finds that \$5,000 to each Class Representative is reasonable compensation for the Named Plaintiffs' services in this matter. The Settlement Administrator shall pay these sums out of the Case No.: CGC-15-547520

Settlement Amount to each Named Plaintiff within seven days following the receipt of the Settlement Amount, in accordance with the Amended Settlement Agreement.

- 10. Having reviewed the submissions of Class Counsel, the Court finds that the not to exceed \$_____ requested amount is reasonable compensation for the settlement administration services provided by JND Legal Administration, Inc. in this matter.
- 11. Within seven days following the receipt of the Settlement Amount, the Settlement Administrator shall mail out checks to Category 1 and 2 Settlement Class Members who did not opt out, and Category 3 Settlement Class Members who returned timely and valid Claim Forms, in accordance with the Amended Settlement Agreement.
- 12. In accordance with the Amended Settlement Agreement, all checks issued to Settlement Class Members shall bear a legend stating that the check shall only be valid for 90 days after the date of issuance. The Settlement Administrator will effect the distribution of the sum of any settlement checks that remain uncashed after the last check void date in accordance with the Amended Settlement Agreement. Any charitable distributions made pursuant to the Amended Settlement Agreement shall be distributed evenly to cy pres organizations, which the Court approves as the Southern Center for Human Rights and Public Justice.
- 13. On the Effective Date, for the benefits and consideration outlined in this Agreement, all Settlement Class Members who have not timely and properly opted out of the Settlement Class, and each of their respective executors, representatives, heirs, successors, trustees, guardians, agents, and all those who claim through them or who assert claims on their behalf, fully and forever release, waive, acquit, and discharge the Released Parties from any claims that were or could have been asserted in the Complaint, including, but not limited to, claims arising under the FCRA and equivalent provisions under state and local law. This release of claims explicitly includes claims for actual damages, statutory damages, and punitive damages, as well as for attorneys' fees and costs, but excludes claims relating to discrimination or any claims asserted in *Lee v. Hertz Corp.*, No. 18-cv-07481-RS (N.D. Cal.).

1	14. If the Effective Date, as defined in the Amended Settlement Agreement,	
2	does not occur for any reason whatsoever, this Final Judgment and the Order of	
3	Preliminary Approval of Settlement shall be deemed vacated and shall have no force and	
4	effect whatsoever.	
5	15. Without affecting the finality of this Final Judgment in any way, this Court	
6	retains continuing jurisdiction for the purpose of enforcing the Amended Settlement	
7	Agreement and this Final Judgment, and other matters related or ancillary to the	
8	foregoing.	
9	16. The Parties having so agreed, good cause appearing, and there being no	
10	just reason for delay, it is expressly directed that this Final Judgment be, and hereby is,	
11	entered as a final and appealable order.	
12		
13	Dated:	
14	Hon. Teri L. Jackson JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	-6- Case No : CGC-15-547520	